

To: Gray, David[gray.david@epa.gov]
From: Gentile, Laura
Sent: Mon 8/24/2015 5:51:52 PM
Subject: Re: Draft responses to WSJ

Okay, a few of the WSJ questions need to be handled under FOIA as per Roxanne -- we are sending u an email with all of the Qs including the ones that require FOIA

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 24, 2015, at 1:36 PM, Gray, David <gray.david@epa.gov> wrote:

I only have this and it states you are working on Q1 still.

From: Gentile, Laura **On Behalf Of** EOC Public Information
Sent: Monday, August 24, 2015 8:45 AM
To: Grantham, Nancy; Gray, David
Cc: EOC Public Information
Subject: Draft responses to WSJ

Here's what I have so far - - still looking for Question #1 (not coming up in any of my documents) and have asked OW desk to help respond to last one.

As this is already a week old, thought it would be best to share with you what we have so far.

DRAFT Responses to WSJ Questions
Revised August 22, 2015

2) How much money would an Animas River Basin cleanup have cost (ie if the site had

been designated a Superfund site) and how long would it have taken? Who would have provided that funding? What specifically was to be cleaned up?

Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

3) When did the EPA first become involved there in trying to get it on the Superfund Priorities List and why? Why wasn't it put on the list?

Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

4) Why was the EPA doing the most recent work on shoring up the mines - was there

something in particular that precipitated that?

Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

5) Does the EPA think at this point that this should be a Superfund site, and again how much would that cost and how would it be funded?

Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

9) How much money from the Standard Metals settlement did the EPA collect from Standard Metals and/or its insurance fund and how much of that went to Animas River Basin cleanup. What cleanup were those funds used for?

Deliberative Process/Ex. 5

10) According to the September 2014 meeting of the Animas River Stakeholders group, EPA contractors tried to open the Gold King around that time but it collapsed back further than anticipated and there was a blockage near the entrance and a previously unidentified small mine pool that needed to be drained, so the EPA stopped work and decided to reevaluate its approach. Can you please explain this problem and how the EPA tried to solve it when going back into the mine recently.

[We understand that Steve Way is the only person who can completely and accurately respond to this question.]